

Perturbative solution of Vlasov equation for periodically driven systems

Kushal Shah^{1,*} and Balaji Srinivasan^{2,†}

¹Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016.

²Department of Applied Mechanics, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016.

Statistical systems with time-periodic spatially non-uniform forces are of immense importance in several areas of physics. In this paper, we provide an analytical expression of the time-periodic probability distribution function of particles in such a system by perturbatively solving the 1D Vlasov equation in the limit of high frequency and slow spatial variation of the time-periodic force. We find that the time-averaged distribution function and density cannot be written simply in terms of an effective potential, also known as the fictitious ponderomotive potential. We also find that the temperature of such systems is spatially non-uniform leading to a non-equilibrium steady state which can further lead to a complex statistical time evolution of the system. Finally, we outline a method by which one can use these analytical solutions of the Vlasov equation to obtain numerical solutions of the self-consistent Vlasov-Poisson equations for such systems.

Particle dynamics in time-periodic systems is of immense importance in many areas of physics like statistical mechanics [1, 2], plasma physics [3–7], dynamical systems [8–10] and quantum computing [11]. Though the dynamics of a single particle in such systems is well understood (in the absence of collisions or other stochastic forces), lot more needs to be done before we can understand the collective dynamics of an ensemble of particles in such systems. The collective motion of particles in a statistical system is governed by the Boltzmann equation [12, 13] which is given by

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{G(x, t)}{m} \frac{\partial P}{\partial v} = \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{collisions}} \quad (1)$$

where $P(x, v, t)$ is the probability distribution function of the particle ensemble and the force, $G(x, t)$, is an arbitrary function of x and t . The RHS in the above equation denotes the time evolution of $P(x, v, t)$ due to collisions and is usually modeled by the Fokker-Planck operator [14]. The objective of this paper is to provide solutions to the above equation for the special case when $G(x, t)$ is spatially non-uniform and time-periodic. We also assume the RHS in the above equation to be zero, which is valid for most plasmas (which are usually considered to be collisionless) and for other statistical systems which are dilute enough. The above equation with $(\partial P / \partial t)_{\text{collisions}} = 0$ is known as the Vlasov or Liouville equation [13].

There have been mainly two papers which have made an attempt to rigorously solve the Boltzmann/Vlasov equation for the case of spatially non-uniform time-periodic forces [2, 3]. In [3], it was implicitly assumed that the time-averaged distribution depends only on the even powers of the time-periodic field. However, it was shown in [4, 15] that this assumption is incorrect. A much more rigorous attempt has been made in [2] and this is

the approach that we follow in this paper. We provide a simplified derivation of the results obtained in [2] and also find an important correction in the final expressions for the distribution function. This correction arises due to a certain ordering of variables which was erroneously assumed in [2]. The most important implication of our result is that the time-averaged distribution function and density cannot be simply written in terms of the effective potential, also known as the fictitious ponderomotive potential [15]. We also go one step further and outline a method using which one can obtain self-consistent solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson equations which arise in the context of charged particles.

The Vlasov equation with time-periodic spatially non-uniform force is given by:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{m} [-U'(x) + F(x, t)] \frac{\partial P}{\partial v} = 0 \quad (2)$$

where $U'(x)$ is the autonomous force term and $F(x, t)$ is the time-periodic (with period $2\pi/\omega$) spatially non-uniform force term which is assumed to have a zero time-average (without loss of generality). If $F(x, t)$ varies slowly with x and if ω is high enough, the particle trajectory under such a force is given by a slow drift motion on which a fast oscillatory term is super-imposed [13]. Hence, we can write the spatial coordinate as $x = X + \xi(X, \tau)$, where X is the slow drift motion and ξ is the fast oscillatory term. Using this, the velocity coordinate can be written as $v = V + \partial \xi / \partial \tau$ and the time coordinate, $t = \tau$. As shown in [2], this change of variables leads to the following transformed equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial \tau} + V \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial X} \\ & + \frac{1}{m} [-U'(X + \xi) + F(X + \xi, \tau) - F(X, \tau)] \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial V} \\ & = V \left[\frac{\xi'}{1 + \xi'} \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial X} + \frac{\dot{\xi}'}{1 + \xi'} \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial V} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

*Electronic address: kkshah@ee.iitd.ac.in

†Electronic address: balaji@am.iitd.ac.in

if we take $m\ddot{\xi} = F(X, \tau)$, where $\tilde{P}(X, V, \tau)$ is the transformed probability distribution. Here, dot and prime refer to partial derivatives w.r.t. τ and X respectively. In order to solve Eq. (3), we perturbatively expand it in orders of $1/\omega$. Note that ξ and $\dot{\xi}$ are not of the same order since $\xi \sim 1/\omega^2$ and $\dot{\xi} \sim 1/\omega$. This is the major difference between our derivation and that presented in [2] where it was erroneously assumed that ξ and $\dot{\xi}$ are of the same order. We write $\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}_0 + \tilde{P}_1 + \tilde{P}_2 + \dots$, where each subsequent term is of a higher order. We substitute this in Eq. (3) and the resulting zeroth order equation is:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{P}_0}{\partial \tau} + V \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_0}{\partial X} + \frac{-U'(X)}{m} \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_0}{\partial V} = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{P}_0 = \frac{1}{Z_0} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} m V^2 + U(X) \right) \right] \quad (5)$$

where Z_0 is a normalization constant. Similarly, the first order equation is given by:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{P}_1}{\partial \tau} + V \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_1}{\partial X} + \frac{-U'(X)}{m} \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_1}{\partial V} = V \dot{\xi}' \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_0}{\partial V} \quad (6)$$

This equation can be written in characteristic form [17] as $d\tilde{P}_1/d\tau = V \dot{\xi}' \partial \tilde{P}_0 / \partial V$, where the characteristic curve is given by $dX/d\tau = V$ and $dV/d\tau = -U'(X)/m$. Now, if $F(X, \tau)$ changes slowly with X , we can write $d\xi/d\tau \approx \partial \xi / \partial \tau$ which then implies $dX/d\tau \approx V$. We also know that $dV/d\tau = -U'(X)/m + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^2)$ and so, up to first order, we can write $dV/d\tau = -U'(X)/m$. Thus, up to the first order, the characteristic curve coincides with the actual particle trajectory. We can now integrate this equation as:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{P}_1}{d\tau} &= V \dot{\xi}' \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_0}{\partial V} \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{P}_1 &\approx -\beta \xi' m V^2 \tilde{P}_0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

if we assume that X, V is almost constant on the time-scale on which ξ changes (same assumption as $d\xi/d\tau \approx \partial \xi / \partial \tau$). Thus, up to first order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(X, V, \tau) &= \tilde{P}_0 - \beta \xi' m V^2 \tilde{P}_0 + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_0} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} m (1 + 2\xi') V^2 + U(X) \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^2) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It is important to note that the corrections to the above expression for $\tilde{P}$ can be of order $\mathcal{O}(1/\omega^2)$ since the second order equation, Eq. (10), has terms of this order. Transforming the variables $(X, V, \tau) \rightarrow (x, v, t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, v, t) &= \frac{1}{Z_0} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} m (1 + 2\xi') (v - \dot{\xi})^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + U(x - \xi) \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_0} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} m (1 + 2\xi') v^2 + \frac{1}{2} m \dot{\xi}^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - m \dot{\xi} v + U(x) - \xi U'(x) \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^2) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which is same as Eq. (23) of [2] except the $\dot{\xi}^2$ and $U^{(1)}(x)$ terms which are also of $\mathcal{O}(1/\omega^2)$. As we will see below, the $U^{(1)}(x)$ term is obtained from the second order equation. But the $\dot{\xi}^2$ term is very important and, along with the $m \dot{\xi} v$ term, is the primary cause of difference between our result and that of conventional ponderomotive theory [2, 7, 13].

Finally, the second order equation is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_2}{\partial \tau} + V \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_2}{\partial X} + \frac{-U'(X)}{m} \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_2}{\partial V} \\ = -\frac{1}{m} [-\xi U''(X) + \xi F'(X, \tau)] \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_0}{\partial V} + V \xi' \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_0}{\partial X} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In the above equation, the characteristic curves do not coincide with the actual particle trajectories since at this order, $dV/d\tau \neq -U'(X)/m$. Instead, $mdV/d\tau = -U'(X) + \overline{\xi F'(X, \tau)}$, where the overline represents time average over $2\pi/\omega$.

In order to solve Eq. 10, we separate $\tilde{P}$ into its time-averaged and time-periodic parts. The equation governing the time-averaged part of $\tilde{P}_2$ is given by

$$V \frac{\partial \overline{\tilde{P}_2}}{\partial X} + \frac{-U'(X)}{m} \frac{\partial \overline{\tilde{P}_2}}{\partial V} = -\frac{\overline{\xi F'(X, \tau)}}{m} \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_0}{\partial V} \quad (11)$$

Solution for this averaged equation is given by Eq. (19) of [2]:

$$\overline{\tilde{P}_2} = -\beta U^{(1)}(X) \tilde{P}_0 \quad (12)$$

where $\partial U^{(1)}(X) / \partial X = -\overline{\xi F'(X, \tau)}$ and $U^{(1)}(X)$ is called the fictitious ponderomotive potential [13]. The oscillatory component of $\tilde{P}_2$ is of a higher order and is neglected in this work. Thus, up to second order, the solution of Eq. (2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, v, t) &= \frac{1}{Z_0} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} m (1 + 2\xi') v^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} m \dot{\xi}^2 - m \dot{\xi} v + U(x) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \xi U'(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^3) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In many theoretical and experimental studies, one quantity of immense interest is the time-averaged distribution function and this is usually assumed to be $\bar{P} = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} m v^2 + U(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^3)$ [2, 7]. A time-averaging of Eq. (13) is a lot more complicated and certainly does not lead to this simplistic expression, primarily because $\dot{\xi}^2$ is of the same order as ξ and cannot be discarded.

The density of particles can be obtained by integrating Eq. (13) with respect to v :

$$\begin{aligned}
 n(x, t) &\approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(x, v, t) dv \\
 &= \frac{1}{Z_0} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} m \dot{\xi}^2 + U(x) - \xi U'(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} m (1 + 2\xi') v^2 - m \dot{\xi} v \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{Z_0} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} m \dot{\xi}^2 + U(x) - \xi U'(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) - \frac{m}{2} \frac{\dot{\xi}^2}{1 + 2\xi'} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv \exp \left[-\frac{m}{2} \beta (1 + 2\xi') \left(v - \frac{\dot{\xi}}{1 + 2\xi'} \right)^2 \right] \\
 &\approx \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left[-\beta \left(U(x) - \xi U'(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) \right]}{Z_0 \sqrt{m\beta (1 + 2\xi')}} \quad (14)
 \end{aligned}$$

A time-averaging of this expression clearly does not lead to the conventional result given by $\bar{n}(x, t) = n_0 \exp \left[-\beta \left(U(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) \right]$ [3, 13].

If Eq. (2) is used to describe a plasma (e.g., in Paul traps), then the force term is a combination of the applied field and the induced field (due to charged particles). Neglecting the induced magnetic field effects, the induced electric field, $E(x, t)$, is governed by the 1D Poisson equation:

$$\frac{\partial E(x, t)}{\partial x} = \frac{q}{\epsilon} n(x, t) \quad (15)$$

where q is the charge on each particle (assumed to be same for all particles) and ϵ is the permittivity. If we write $U(x) = U_a(x) + U_i(x)$ and $F(x, t) = F_a(x, t) + F_i(x, t)$, where the subscript a stands for applied and i

stands for induced, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial U_i(x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F_i(x, t)}{\partial x} &= \frac{q^2 \sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left[-\beta \left(U(x) - \xi U'(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) \right]}{\epsilon Z_0 \sqrt{m\beta (1 + 2\xi')}} \\
 &= \frac{q^2 \sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left[-\beta \left(U(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) \right]}{\epsilon Z_0 \sqrt{m\beta (1 + 2\xi')}} \\
 &\quad \times \exp \left[\beta \xi U'(x) \right] \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

We can now separate the time-averaged and time-periodic components from the above equation to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial U_i(x)}{\partial x} &\approx \frac{q^2 \sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left[-\beta \left(U(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) \right]}{\epsilon Z_0 \sqrt{m\beta}} \quad (17) \\
 \frac{\partial F_i(x, t)}{\partial x} &\approx \frac{q^2 \sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left[-\beta \left(U(x) + U^{(1)}(x) \right) \right] \beta \xi U'(x)}{\epsilon Z_0 \sqrt{m\beta}}
 \end{aligned}$$

which can be solved numerically for a given problem.

For special cases of the time-periodic electric field, it was shown in [4, 15, 16] that the time-averaged distribution function and density cannot be simply written in terms of the fictitious ponderomotive potential, $U^{(1)}(x)$. In this paper, we have shown that this is also true for a much more general case. This clearly shows that time-periodic systems are much more complex and cannot be analyzed using the simple statistical ideas of effective potential that we use for studying autonomous systems.

An analytical expression for the temperature of the system (variance of $P(x, v, t)$ divided by $n(x, t)$) can be obtained from Eqs. (13) and (14):

$$T \approx \frac{1}{\beta (1 + 2\xi')} \quad (18)$$

where terms of $\mathcal{O}(1/\omega^3)$ have been neglected. It is interesting to note that if the time-periodic force, $F(x, t)$ is spatially linear, then ξ is also spatially linear and hence, ξ' is spatially uniform. But if $F(x, t)$ is non-linear, then the resulting temperature is also spatially non-uniform and this can have important consequences for the statistical evolution of the system. Now, even if the applied field is linear, $F(x, t)$ will automatically become non-linear due to the induced field if the particles are charged (as in a plasma). This shows that the solution obtained in Eq. (13) just describes a steady state and may not represent the true equilibrium distribution. It is also important to note that although Eq. (13) represents a Maxwellian distribution, higher order corrections contain terms with higher powers of v [15] which clearly leads to a non-equilibrium state in the conventional thermodynamic sense. For these reasons, it is not even clear if such periodically driven systems actually have any well-defined state of thermodynamic equilibrium.

A very important experimental phenomenon that occurs in the context of plasma dynamics in Paul traps

is that of RF heating [5–7] where the temperature of the charged particles is usually found to be much higher than that of the background gas. A clear theoretical explanation of this phenomenon is still not available. The solution we have obtained in this paper is clearly time-periodic and obviously does not contain any signs of heating. But it is important to note that our solution represents a steady-state and the plasma may actually take a long time before it can reach this distribution. Hence, it is possible that RF heating is a transient phenomenon which cannot be captured by a steady state analysis of the Vlasov/Boltzmann equation. Also, as mentioned earlier, this steady state solution is not at thermodynamic equilibrium and hence there might be other statistical processes at play in such systems which are not captured

by the Boltzmann equation and which eventually lead to heating. Another possibility is that the Vlasov-Poisson equations actually have an unstable solution which cannot be captured by regular perturbation methods used in this paper. A final and more likely possibility is that RF heating is caused due to inter-particle collisions which cannot be modeled by partial differential equations and need to be treated more carefully [5, 6].

Acknowledgments : KS would like to thank Sreedhar Dutta and Mustansir Barma for interesting discussions. KS would also like to gratefully acknowledge the financial support and hospitality of the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (TIFR, Mumbai) where a part of this work was done.

-
- [1] P. Jung, Periodically driven stochastic systems, Physics Reports 234, 175 (1993)
 - [2] Sreedhar B. Dutta and Mustansir Barma, Asymptotic distributions of periodically driven stochastic systems, Phys. Rev. E 67, 061111 (2003)
 - [3] V. B. Krapchev, Kinetic Theory of the Ponderomotive Effects in a Plasma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 497 (1979)
 - [4] K. Shah and H. S. Ramachandran, Analytic, nonlinearly exact solutions of an rf confined plasma, Phys. Plasmas 15, 062303 (2008)
 - [5] K. Chen, S. T. Sullivan, W. G. Rellergert and E. R. Hudson, Measurement of the Coulomb Logarithm in a Radio-Frequency Paul Trap, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 173003 (2013)
 - [6] K. Chen, S. T. Sullivan and E. R. Hudson, Neutral Gas Sympathetic Cooling of an Ion in a Paul Trap, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 143009 (2014)
 - [7] Y. S. Nam, E. B. Jones and R. Blumel, Analytical mean-field scaling theory of radio-frequency heating in a Paul trap, Phys. Rev. A 90, 013402 (2014)
 - [8] K. Shah, Energy growth rate in smoothly oscillating billiards, Phys. Rev. E 83, 046215 (2011)
 - [9] V. Gelfreich, V. Rom-Kedar, and D. Turaev, Fermi acceleration and adiabatic invariants for non-autonomous billiards, Chaos 22, 033116 (2012)
 - [10] B. Batistic, Exponential Fermi acceleration in general time-dependent billiards, Phys. Rev. E 90, 032909 (2014)
 - [11] C. Monroe and J. Kim, Scaling the Ion Trap Quantum Processor, Science 339, 1164 (2013)
 - [12] S. Harris, An introduction to the theory of the Boltzmann equation (Dover, New York, 2004)
 - [13] D. R. Nicholson, Introduction to Plasma Theory (Wiley, New York, 1983)
 - [14] H. Risken, The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solutions and Applications (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984)
 - [15] K. Shah, Ph.D. thesis, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, 2010.
 - [16] K. Shah and H. S. Ramachandran, Space charge effects in rf traps: Ponderomotive concept and stroboscopic analysis, Physics of Plasmas 16, 062307 (2009)
 - [17] F. John, Partial Differential Equations (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991)